

## Fw: Recent attacks on San Luis Obispo County APCD

Meredith Kurpius

Elfego Felix, Gwen Yoshimura, Katherine Hoag, MichaelA Flagg

11/29/2011 07:29 AM

Just a good reminder of those agencies out there fighting to do the right thing...

^^^^^^

Meredith Kurpius, PhD Air Quality Analysis Office Air Division, US EPA 75 Hawthorne St., AIR-7 San Francisco, CA 94105 415-947-4534 (p) 415-947-3579 (f)

----- Forwarded by Meredith Kurpius/R9/USEPA/US on 11/29/2011 07:28 AM -----

From: lallen\_apcd@co.slo.ca.us
To: undisclosed-recipients:;
Date: 11/28/2011 06:03 PM

Subject: Recent attacks on San Luis Obispo County APCD

Dear Colleagues,

As many of you are likely aware, my District, and me personally, have been the subject of some highly critical attack articles published by a local internet blog site called "Cal Coast News". The articles are just one part of a well-organized misinformation and smear campaign that was designed to attack our credibility in an effort to derail our proposed fugitive dust rule to reduce particulate emissions from the Oceano Dunes State Vehicular Recreation Area. They were published 3 days before our hearing on the rule and accompanied by the Cal Coast editor appearing on local conservative talk radio stations that same week to hype their "expose" of the APCD. Cal Coast was in our office numerous times the prior week reviewing files, yet no one from our staff was interviewed for any of the articles or even asked any questions about the files they reviewed. Cal Coast was accompanied at our office by a local offroad riding advocate that has peppered our agency with public information requests and fabricated numerous stories over the past year alleging APCD manipulation of data, flawed science, etc.; he has also emailed his stories and accusations to many of you. I'll spare you a point-by-point rebuttal of what Cal Coast printed, but suffice it to say the articles were highly misleading and contained substantial errors, omissions and outright fabrications designed to lead the reader to a predetermined conclusion that our agency has run amok.

Fortunately, our Board withstood the onslaught and adopted the rule last week. I've since been informed that Cal Coast was paid to publish the hit pieces and make those appearances on local radio, and they will likely continue - we are currently working to fulfill several other public information requests they and their offroad advocates have submitted, and they are advertising a "multi-part series on the questionable activities of the APCD". We have been instructed by our Board not to respond to their articles as they are not a credible news source; none of the local

mainstream media has pursued any of their stories. I did, however, write an opinion piece that was published Nov 13 in our primary newspaper, the Tribune, in response to all of this, which I've copied below if you're interested.

I highly respect all of you and wanted to personally inform you of the "back story" on this so you have that understanding if/when more of this nonsense comes your way. I truly appreciate the moral support many of you have already given me and my staff regarding these attacks and would be more than happy to talk to you about it if you have questions or want more details. Any advice you might have would also be welcomed and appreciated. And please feel free to forward this to your staff or anyone else you think appropriate.

Thanks again for your support - I wish all of you a Happy Thanksgiving and joyful times during this holiday season!

Sincerely,

Larry Allen

Air Pollution Control Officer

San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District

Phone: 805 781-5912 Fax: 805 781-1002

Web: http://www.slocleanair.org

P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Viewpoint: Dust emission rule's passage is an issue of public health By Larry Allen

Much has been aired on this page and on the radio over the past week regarding the Air Pollution Control District's proposed rule to reduce dust emissions from the Oceano Dunes, and the scientific studies supporting the rule. Most of it involves a very strong lobbying and misinformation campaign by those opposed to the rule, raising questions in the guise of "science" to create doubt and undermine our credibility. As a result, APCD has been pulled into a whirlwind of accusations, character attacks and untruths.

This is unfortunate and detracts from the real issue: the ongoing and significant health risks faced by South County residents exposed to the huge dust plume rising from the Dunes on windy days. Protection of public health is not a choice for APCD — it's our mandate. I completely agree with Judith Bean's statement in her Viewpoint article that decisions must be made on information that goes beyond hearsay.

Here are the facts:

Airborne particulate matter (PM) levels on the Nipomo Mesa are consistently higher than anywhere on the California coast, including other dune areas, exceeding state health standards about 65 days per year; those standards are rarely exceeded elsewhere in our county. APCD performed a comprehensive year-long air quality study beginning in 2004 to evaluate possible sources of PM emissions impacting the Mesa, including dirt roads, agricultural activities, nearby industry, highway emissions and coastal dunes. The data definitively identified the coastal dunes as the primary source of PM on days when the health standards were exceeded; the study was not designed, however, to evaluate whether off-road vehicle activity on the Oceano Dunes

was a contributing factor. The APCD Board thus directed staff to conduct a second study for that purpose.

APCD contracted with the UC Davis Delta Group to help design and implement the new study, a team of internationally recognized experts in particulate aerosol analysis that was also relied upon by the EPA to analyze the PM concentrations and exposure to workers and residents at ground zero after the World Trade Center attacks of 9/11. Air monitoring and meteorological experts from two other air districts, soil experts from University of Texas, and geologists and staff from State Parks also played important roles in designing and carrying out the Phase 2 PM Study.

The project involved three independent studies conducted by three independent groups to analyze sand movement, particle size and composition, and airborne particulate levels from the riding area and a comparable non-riding area selected by State Parks. After collecting and analyzing more than 2 million data points generated by the sampling, all three studies were peer reviewed and independently reached the same conclusion: Vehicle activity on the Dunes is significantly contributing to the high PM levels on the Mesa.

Attacks on the study began before it was even published and continue to this day. APCD staff and its consultants spent considerable time and effort thoroughly analyzing and answering all questions raised, reaffirming our confidence in the validity of the findings. It's time to move forward. The proposed rule is fair, requiring dust from the Dunes to be reduced to natural background levels using best management practices selected by State Parks; we have worked collaboratively with them over the past 18 months to evaluate potential solutions. The recent pilot projects performed at the Dunes by scientists from the Desert Research Institute, as well as substantial other research in this field, demonstrate a variety of strategies are available to reduce the dust emissions without significantly affecting operations at the park.

As a regulatory agency charged with public health protection, we are obligated to ensure those reductions occur in a timely manner. The proposed rule is the appropriate means to accomplish that goal. APCD has spent nearly a decade, countless staff hours and considerable funds researching this issue while exposure to harmful levels of pollution continues for downwind residents. Our mandate is clear, and we will strongly recommend that our board adopt the proposed rule.

Implementing regulations is a collaborative process. We work closely with hundreds of other facilities and businesses subject to air quality regulations in our county to help them meet their requirements in a feasible and practical manner. We don't shut them down, and we don't penalize them out of existence if they fall out of compliance — we work with them to find the best path forward in solving the problem while ensuring public health is protected. That's how we've always functioned, and that's the relationship we'll establish with the park operators.

Larry Allen is Executive Director of the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District.

[Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]

[Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]